

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application Form Preview

## 2026 HDR Graduate Research Supervision Awards Nomination Form

\* indicates a required field

### Background and Information

Every year, we honour and celebrate the remarkable accomplishments within our research community through two levels of research awards and prizes: the Vice-Chancellor's Research Awards and Prizes and RMIT Research Awards and Prizes.

The [Research Awards](#) and Prizes acknowledge the research excellence, engagement and impact achieved by research staff and Higher Degree by Research (HDR) candidates, while the [Research Service Awards](#) (RSAs) are presented to professional staff who deliver services that support and enable research.

This application is for the [Research Award](#) program.

In 2026, nominations for the [Research Award](#) program will run from **Friday 15 May 11:00am** to **Thursday 23 July at 3:00pm sharp**. Please try to submit early as the system accepts no applications after the cutoff.

#### **Before you begin:**

Please ensure that you have read the [Research Awards Guidelines](#) and additional supporting documents on the RMIT University [Research Awards](#) page.

#### **Save as you go:**

We suggest you click **SAVE** as you progress through your application.

#### **Further information and contact details:**

- If you require support in filling out this form due to accessibility or health issues, reach out to our team for assistance via email. - [reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au](mailto:reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au)
- If you have any questions about the Research Awards and Prizes please contact our team via email - [reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au](mailto:reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au)

### Nomination Process

Per the [Research Awards Guidelines](#).

A complete nomination consists of the following:

- 1. Completion of this nomination form.**
- 2. Nominator(s) Statement** - [Nominator Support fillable template](#).
- 3. Statement(s) of Support** - [Statement of Support fillable template](#).
- 4. Curriculum vitae** - Each nominee must submit an abbreviated CV (1.5 x A4 pages maximum). Team awards require a single compiled PDF containing abbreviated CVs, maximum of 1 page per member, for all nominated team members to be submitted.
- 5. Google Scholar / Google Scholar Profile Link** - Provide a link to the Google Scholar Profile, and / or the relevant research of the nominee(s).

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

### Graduate Research Supervision Award Categories

Please select the Award being applied for from the list below.

#### Research Awards Categories \*

- Award for Excellence in Graduate Research Supervision
- Award for Excellence in Graduate Research Leadership
- Award for Research Engagement and Impact - Industry Engagement in Graduate Research

### Applying as an individual or as a team?

Are you applying for the Award for Research Engagement and Impact - Industry Engagement in Graduate Research as an individual or as a team of HDR supervisors?

Please note, for this award, team nominations must comprise HDR supervisors only, and all team members must have a record of successful HDR completions.

#### Individual or team? \*

- Individual
- Team

### Declaration

[Award Guidelines.](#)

**I declare that I have read and understand the Guidelines, and information above, and can confirm I am eligible to nominate for this Award or Prize: \***

- Yes

## Page 1: Nominee Details

\* indicates a required field

### Nominee Details

#### Nominee Details \*

Title	First Name	Last Name
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

#### Which most accurately describes the nominee? \*

- Man or male
- Woman or female
- Non-binary
- Trans or gender diverse
- Prefer not to respond
- I use a different term (please specify)
- Other:

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

If you prefer to use a different term, please specify in the text field above.

**Do you identify as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander? \***

- Yes - Aboriginal
- Yes - Torres Strait Islander
- Yes - both
- Prefer not to say / unknown
- No

**RMIT employee number (Please include 'e' or 'v' with the number) \***

**College / School \***

**RMIT Email address \***

**RMIT Academic level / HEW level \***

**Employment Status \***

Please pick the most accurate option.

**Academic Function \***

Please pick the most accurate option.

**Nominee Google Scholar / Google Scholar Profile Link \***

Must be a URL.

**Has this nominee been the recipient of a Research Award or Prize in previous rounds? \***

- Yes
- No

Previous winners can be found on our website at: <https://www.rmit.edu.au/research/our-research/research-awards>

### Award / Prize Details

Previous winners can be found on the [Research Awards](#) website.

**Please specify the award / prize category and year it was awarded. \***

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

Please note that nominees are ineligible to apply for the same or similar categories for a 3-year period.

### Employment Details

**Please enter your contract end date \***

Must be a date and no earlier than 31/12/2026.

### Team Details Full

Please enter the details of all eligible team members here who are making a significant contribution.

If the administrative contact is also a member of the team, please list them here as well.

**Please NOTE:** Only the team members listed here will be included as a part of the team award, additionally, if any team member is not eligible it may make the entire team ineligible. We strongly recommend reading the [guidelines](#) and contacting the program administrator at: [reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au](mailto:reward.recognition.research@rmit.edu.au) if you have any questions.

**Please note:** If the team details table is difficult to read, click the “Maximise” button at the top right of the table to expand it for easier viewing.

Team Member Name	RMIT employee / student number	RMIT Email address	Which most accurately describes this team member?	Does the team member identify as an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander?	RMIT Academic level / HEW level	College/ School
------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	---	---	---------------------------------	-----------------

First and last name please.	(N.B. Please include 'e' or 'v' with the number.)					
			<input type="checkbox"/> Man or male <input type="checkbox"/> Woman or female <input type="checkbox"/> Non-binary <input type="checkbox"/> Trans or gender diverse <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to respond <input type="checkbox"/> I use a different			

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

			term (please specify) <input type="checkbox"/> Other:			
			<input type="checkbox"/> Man or male <input type="checkbox"/> Woman or female <input type="checkbox"/> Non-binary <input type="checkbox"/> Trans or gender diverse <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to respond <input type="checkbox"/> I use a different term (please specify) <input type="checkbox"/> Other:			

### Team Details

Please give us some more information about your team.

**Please note:** Entire Research Centres are ineligible to be nominated for team awards, however small teams within a centre are eligible. Transdisciplinary team nominations are encouraged.

**Please note:** Each team must nominate one administrative contact for correspondence and submission purposes only. This role does not denote any hierarchical position within the team. If the administrative contact is also a member of the team, they must be listed in the team section above. The Research Awards Team will liaise with this person as needed.

#### Team Name \*

Word count:

Must be no more than 100 characters.

#### Team Administrative Contact \*

Title      First Name      Last Name

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

#### Administrative Contact RMIT email address \*

Must be an email address.

**Please provide the links to all team members' Google Scholar / Google Scholar Profiles \***

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

Please provide the links to all team members' Google Scholar / Google Scholar Profiles here, separated by a comma. Any specific team links may also be added here.

**Has any member of this team been the recipient of a Research award or prize in previous rounds? \***

- Yes  
 No

Previous winners can be found on our website at: <https://www.rmit.edu.au/research/our-research/research-awards>

All previous Research Awards award / prize(s).

Previous winners can be found on the [Research Awards](#) website.

**Please specify the team member and team award / prize category and year it was awarded. \***

Please list all applicable team and team member awards. Please note that nominees are ineligible to apply for the same or similar categories for a 3-year period.

### Interdisciplinary Collaboration

**Does this application include RMIT researchers from more than one college or discipline? \***

- Yes  
 No

### Achievement Relative to Opportunity

You can access the Achievement Relative to Opportunity guidelines here ([ARtO](#)).

**Please, summarise any career interruptions nominee(s) have experienced and impacts on research outputs or career trajectory.**

Word count:

Must be no more than 250 words.

If you're applying as a team you can reflect on interruptions experienced by one or more members.

### Nominee FTE

If the nominee(s) held less than a full time research load, calculate the time fraction per week (or FTE) using the following measurements:

- 1 day = 0.2 FTE
- 2 days = 0.4 FTE

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application Form Preview

- 3 days = 0.6 FTE
- 4 days = 0.8 FTE
- 5 days = 1 FTE

Take the FTE and multiply by the number of months worked at that FTE. This example illustrates a year worked at various FTEs:

- 0.8 FTE for 4 months =  $0.8 \times 4 = 3.2$  months
- 0.6 FTE for 6 months =  $0.6 \times 6 = 3.6$  months
- 1 FTE for 2 months =  $1 \times 2 = 2$  months

TOTAL =  $3.2 + 3.6 + 2 = 8.8$  months

8.8 months divided by the year (12) = **.73 FTE**

## Nominee's Research FTE for the years to which the research relates \*

Please choose the one that best fits.

## Interdisciplinary Research

### Is the research supporting the nominee's nomination interdisciplinary? \*

- Yes  
 No

## Interdisciplinary Details

### Please explain the interdisciplinary nature of the research supporting the nomination. \*

Word count:

Must be no more than 150 words.

## Curriculum Vitae

Individual nominees must provide an abbreviated current CV (1.5 x A4 pages maximum).

Team awards require a single compiled PDF containing abbreviated CVs, maximum of 1 page per member, for all nominated team members to be submitted.

Please create a single PDF including all team members' abbreviated resumes.

### Abbreviated CV \*

Attach a file:

A maximum of 1 file may be attached.

## Page 2: HDR and Graduate Research Supervision Awards - Nomination Questions

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

\* indicates a required field

### Vice-Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Graduate Research Supervision

Please refer to the 'Awards for Research Excellence' section within the [Award Guidelines](#) for specific information about this award type.

Other helpful links for completing this section:

- RMIT [core values](#).
- RMIT Strategy [Knowledge with Action](#).
- RMIT's Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Access [\(IDEA\) Framework](#).

The nomination will be judged against the following criteria.

**Criterion 1:** Demonstrated engagement in high quality and innovative research supervision practice. (max 200 words)

Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used.

- Supervision approach and practice

How does the nominee create a high quality, rigorous, and supportive supervision environment for HDR candidates?

- Innovation and responsiveness

What is distinctive, effective, or innovative about their supervision practice? Where relevant, explain how they adapt their approach to candidate needs, project type, discipline, or context.

- Evidence

What are the strongest indicators that this supervision practice has been effective for HDR candidates?

**Criterion 2:** Evidence of the outcomes of research supervision. (max 200 words)

Please focus on the strongest evidence of the nominee's supervision outcomes.

- Research outcomes

What research outcomes have HDR candidates achieved under this supervision? This may include co-publications, co-presentations, creative or practice-based outputs, or other relevant research achievements.

- Candidate development

How has the nominee supported candidates to build research capability, employability skills, confidence, and professional networks?

- Evidence

What are the strongest indicators that candidates benefited from this supervision approach?

**Criterion 3:** Promotion of HDR student engagement beyond academe. (max 150 words)

How has the nominee supported HDR candidates to engage beyond academia?

You may wish to address:

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

- engagement with industry, government, community, clinical, cultural, or other external partners
- opportunities that broadened candidates' experience, visibility, or career readiness
- evidence of positive post-graduation outcomes where available

Please focus on practical examples and outcomes rather than broad statements.

**Criterion 4:** Leadership in research supervision including the provision of mentoring to less experienced supervisors. (max 150 words)

How has the nominee demonstrated leadership in HDR supervision beyond their own candidates?

You may wish to address:

- mentoring or supporting less experienced supervisors
- contributing to supervision capability, good practice, or local culture
- influencing supervision quality within the academic unit or broader research environment

Please focus on 1-2 strong examples and their effect.

**Criterion 5:** Contribution to RMIT core values. (max 150 words)

Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain:

- how the nominee demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT
- one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making
- why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment

Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

**Criterion 1: Demonstrated engagement in high quality and innovative research supervision practice. \***

**Word count:**

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used. Supervision approach and practice How does the nominee create a high quality, rigorous, and supportive supervision environment for HDR candidates? Innovation and responsiveness What is distinctive, effective, or innovative about their supervision practice? Where relevant, explain how they adapt their approach to candidate needs, project type, discipline, or context. Evidence What are the strongest indicators that this supervision practice has been effective for HDR candidates?

**Criterion 2: Evidence of the outcomes of research supervision. \***

**Word count:**

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Please focus on the strongest evidence of the nominee's supervision outcomes. Research outcomes What research outcomes have HDR candidates achieved under this supervision? This may include co-publications, co-presentations, creative or

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

practice-based outputs, or other relevant research achievements. Candidate development How has the nominee supported candidates to build research capability, employability skills, confidence, and professional networks? Evidence What are the strongest indicators that candidates benefited from this supervision approach?

### **Criterion 3: Promotion of HDR student engagement beyond academe. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee supported HDR candidates to engage beyond academia? You may wish to address: engagement with industry, government, community, clinical, cultural, or other external partners opportunities that broadened candidates' experience, visibility, or career readiness evidence of positive post-graduation outcomes where available Please focus on practical examples and outcomes rather than broad statements.

### **Criterion 4: Leadership in research supervision including the provision of mentoring to less experienced supervisors. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee demonstrated leadership in HDR supervision beyond their own candidates? You may wish to address: mentoring or supporting less experienced supervisors contributing to supervision capability, good practice, or local culture influencing supervision quality within the academic unit or broader research environment Please focus on 1-2 strong examples and their effect.

### **Criterion 5: Contribution to RMIT core values. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain: how the nominee demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

## **RMIT Award for Excellence in Graduate Research Leadership**

Please refer to the 'Awards for Research Excellence' section within the [Award Guidelines](#) for specific information about this award type.

Other helpful links for completing this section:

- RMIT [core values](#).
- RMIT Strategy [Knowledge with Action](#).
- RMIT's Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Access [\(IDEA\) Framework](#).

The nomination will be judged against the following criteria.

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

**Criterion 1:** Contributions to initiatives that have enabled a strong and supportive intellectual community for HDR candidates within their academic unit. (max 200 words)

Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used.

- Initiatives and contribution

What initiatives, programs, structures, or practices has the nominee contributed to that have strengthened the HDR candidate community?

- Why they mattered

How did these initiatives improve intellectual culture, candidate experience, belonging, or support within the academic unit?

- Evidence

What are the strongest indicators that these contributions were effective?

**Criterion 2:** Development and maintenance of high quality and innovative HDR and / or skill development programs. (max 200 words)

What HDR or researcher-development programs has the nominee developed, led, strengthened, or sustained?

You may wish to address:

- the purpose and design of the program or initiative
- what was high quality, innovative, or especially effective about it
- how it supported HDR candidate development, training, or progression
- the strongest evidence of impact or benefit

**Criterion 3:** Providing effective support and mentoring for HDR supervisors. (max 150 words)

How has the nominee supported HDR supervisors to strengthen their practice?

You may wish to address:

- mentoring, guidance, or capability-building for supervisors
- resources, forums, training, or practical support
- evidence that this improved confidence, consistency, or supervision quality

**Criterion 4:** Development and strengthening of institutional and local HDR strategy and policy. (max 150 words)

How has the nominee contributed to strengthening HDR strategy, policy, or practice at local or institutional level?

Please focus on:

- the nominee's specific contribution
- what changed, improved, or was strengthened
- why that mattered for HDR candidates, supervisors, or the research training environment

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

### **Criterion 5:** Contribution to RMIT core values. (max 150 words)

Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain:

- how the nominee demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT
- one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making
- why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment

Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

### **Criterion 1: Contributions to initiatives that have enabled a strong and supportive intellectual community for HDR candidates within their academic unit. \***

Word count:

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used. Initiatives and contribution What initiatives, programs, structures, or practices has the nominee contributed to that have strengthened the HDR candidate community? Why they mattered How did these initiatives improve intellectual culture, candidate experience, belonging, or support within the academic unit? Evidence What are the strongest indicators that these contributions were effective?

### **Criterion 2: Development and maintenance of high quality and innovative HDR and / or skill development programs. \***

Word count:

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. What HDR or researcher-development programs has the nominee developed, led, strengthened, or sustained? You may wish to address: the purpose and design of the program or initiative what was high quality, innovative, or especially effective about it how it supported HDR candidate development, training, or progression the strongest evidence of impact or benefit.

### **Criterion 3: Providing effective support and mentoring for HDR supervisors. \***

Word count:

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee supported HDR supervisors to strengthen their practice? You may wish to address: mentoring, guidance, or capability-building for supervisors resources, forums, training, or practical support evidence that this improved confidence, consistency, or supervision quality.

### **Criterion 4: Development and strengthening of institutional and local HDR strategy and policy. \***

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

**Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee contributed to strengthening HDR strategy, policy, or practice at local or institutional level? Please focus on: the nominee's specific contribution what changed, improved, or was strengthened why that mattered for HDR candidates, supervisors, or the research training environment.

**Criterion 5: Contribution to RMIT core values. \***

**Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain: how the nominee demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

## RMIT Award for Research Engagement and Impact - Industry Engagement in Graduate Research

Please refer to the 'Awards for Research Engagement and Impact' section within the [Award Guidelines](#) for specific information about this award type.

Other helpful links for completing this section:

- RMIT [core values](#).
- RMIT Strategy [Knowledge with Action](#).
- RMIT's Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Access [\(IDEA\) Framework](#).

The nomination will be judged against the following criteria.

**Criterion 1:** Evidence of initiatives or industry engagements that have enabled successful and effective collaborations between HDR candidates and industry partners. (max 250 words)

Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee or team was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's or team's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used.

- The initiative or engagement

What was the relevant initiative, project, partnership, placement, supervision approach, or program?

- Role and contribution

What was the nominee's or team's specific contribution to enabling the collaboration?

- Why it worked

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

How did the initiative support successful and effective collaboration between HDR candidates and industry partners? Where relevant, explain how expectations, communication, co-design, or mutual benefit were managed.

- Evidence

What are the strongest indicators that the collaboration was successful and effective?

**Criterion 2:** Demonstrated contributions to HDR programs and / or supervision that support candidates to develop strong employability skills and wide professional networks. (max 250 words)

How has the nominee or team supported HDR candidates to build employability and professional networks through industry-engaged research?

You may wish to address:

- the skills, capabilities, or experiences candidates developed
- how industry engagement was integrated into programs or supervision
- opportunities for networking, exposure, collaboration, or career development
- the strongest evidence of benefit to candidates

**Criterion 3:** Evidence of projects or initiatives that promote HDR candidates and graduates to industry. (max 200 words)

How has the nominee or team promoted HDR candidates or graduates to industry?

You may wish to address:

- projects, placements, showcases, events, partnerships, or other initiatives
- how candidates or graduates were made visible to industry partners or end-users
- evidence of opportunities, progression, recruitment, collaboration, or other outcomes

Please focus on practical examples and outcomes.

**Criterion 4:** Contribution to RMIT core values. (max 150 words)

Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain:

- how the nominee or team demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT
- one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making
- why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment

Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

**Criterion 1: Evidence of initiatives or industry engagements that have enabled successful and effective collaborations between HDR candidates and industry partners. \***

Word count:

Must be no more than 250 words.

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

Consider the following when preparing your response. Focus primarily on the work completed while the nominee or team was at RMIT, with earlier background included only where needed for context. Please address the nominee's or team's 2-3 strongest examples only. Short paragraphs or bullet points may be used. The initiative or engagement What was the relevant initiative, project, partnership, placement, supervision approach, or program? Role and contribution What was the nominee's or team's specific contribution to enabling the collaboration? Why it worked How did the initiative support successful and effective collaboration between HDR candidates and industry partners? Where relevant, explain how expectations, communication, co-design, or mutual benefit were managed. Evidence What are the strongest indicators that the collaboration was successful and effective?

### **Criterion 2: Demonstrated contributions to HDR programs and / or supervision that support candidates to develop strong employability skills and wide professional networks. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 250 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee or team supported HDR candidates to build employability and professional networks through industry-engaged research? You may wish to address: the skills, capabilities, or experiences candidates developed how industry engagement was integrated into programs or supervision opportunities for networking, exposure, collaboration, or career development the strongest evidence of benefit to candidates.

### **Criterion 3: Evidence of projects or initiatives that promote HDR candidates and graduates to industry. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. How has the nominee or team promoted HDR candidates or graduates to industry? You may wish to address: projects, placements, showcases, events, partnerships, or other initiatives how candidates or graduates were made visible to industry partners or end-users evidence of opportunities, progression, recruitment, collaboration, or other outcomes Please focus on practical examples and outcomes.

### **Criterion 4: Contribution to RMIT core values. \***

#### **Word count:**

Must be no more than 150 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Select the one or two RMIT core values most relevant to this nomination and explain: how the nominee or team demonstrated those values in practice at RMIT one concrete example of behaviour, leadership, collaboration, or decision-making why that mattered to HDR candidates, colleagues, partners, or the broader research environment Please focus on observed behaviour and contribution rather than broad statements of alignment.

## Vietnam Research Award

Researchers and research teams whose work is having an impact in Vietnam are warmly encouraged to apply for the inaugural Vietnam Research Award.

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

**Please NOTE:** This is an additional opportunity alongside your Research Awards application. Choosing to apply, or not apply, for the Vietnam Research Award will not affect your University Research Awards application in any way. Eligible applicants may be considered for both.

If you select **Yes**, an additional question below will open and must be completed for consideration for the Vietnam Research Award.

Please reach out to the Vietnam Research & Innovation team with any questions.

**Would you like to be considered for the inaugural Vietnam Research Award 2026?**

\*

- Yes
- No

### Vietnam Research Award Impact Narrative

**Please note:** Your answer here will have no bearing on your Research Awards application.

In less than 200 words please elaborate on the impact of your research specific to the Vietnam context. For guidance, consider Professor Swee Mak's Impact Narrative Masterclass tips on developing an Impact Narrative:

#### **My Impact Narrative:**

- I focused particularly on ... because it provided insights on ... (relevance):
- My approach to explore this issue was unique because:
- The insights gained led to a change/improvement in (e.g. understanding / practice / awareness):
- These insights/changes were relevant to and valued by:
- The impact from this research was evaluated/evidenced by:

**Using the guidance above please share your Vietnam impact narrative. \***

**Word count:**

Must be no more than 200 words.

Consider the following when preparing your response. Respond to the following: I focused particularly on ... because it provided insights on ... (relevance): My approach to explore this issue was unique because: The insights gained led to a change/improvement in (e.g. understanding / practice / awareness): These insights/changes were relevant to and valued by: The impact from this research was evaluated/evidenced by:

## Page 3: Statements of Support

\* indicates a required field

### Statements of Support - Details and Documentation

Each nomination application must include:

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

- One signed 'Nominators Statement' - There must be a statement of support from the nominator.
- One signed 'Statement of Support' - This is the second required statement of support and is from someone other than the first nominator.

Please refer to the [Award Guidelines](#) for information on the type of support statement(s), person(s) who can provide them, and preferred format.

- **the [nominator's statement](#) / [statement of support](#) should ideally be written by the nominator / supporter directly, and**
- **support statements should address the selection criteria for the selected award category, focusing on the strongest relevant work.**

**Please download the following templates:**

1. **Nominator(s) Statement** - [Nominator Support fillable template.](#)
2. **Statement of Support** - [Statement of Support fillable template.](#)

**Please note:** Dean / Head of School endorsement will be sought separately in bulk by the Research Awards Team before assessment; however, a Dean / Head of School may still act as a nominator or provide a statement of support.

## Nominator Statement

Please upload a statement from the nominee's nominator. A nominator can also be the Dean, Head of School, EIP Director, Research Centre Director or equivalent.

**Please use the appropriate template:**

- the [nominator's statement](#) should ideally be written by the nominator directly, and
- nominator statements should address the **selection criteria for the selected award category**, focusing on the strongest relevant work.

**Nominator(s) Statement** - [Nominator Support fillable template.](#)

### Nominator's Name \*

Title	First Name	Last Name
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

### Relationship to the Nominee(s) \*

- Dean / Head of School
- EIP Director
- Research Centre Director
- Nominee's supervisor
- Relevant HDR coordinator
- HDR Graduate of Nominee
- Other:

At least 1 choice must be selected.  
If other, please specify.

### Please upload the nomination statement. \*

Attach a file:

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

All required statements must be uploaded per the guidelines to be eligible for an award.

### Statement of Support #1

Please upload a Statement of Support from a Dean, Head of School, EIP Director, Research Centre Director, external party familiar with the research, party that has benefited from the research, nominee's supervisor, or equivalent.

#### Please use the appropriate template:

- the **Statement of Support** should ideally be written by the supporter directly, and
- support statements should address the **selection criteria for the selected award category**, focusing on the strongest relevant work.

**Statement of Support** - [Statement of Support fillable template](#).

#### Statement of Support #1 \*

Individual       Organisation

Organisation Name

Title      First Name      Last Name

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

#### Relationship to the Nominee(s) \*

- Nominator
- Dean / Head of School
- EIP Director
- HDR Graduate of Nominee
- Relevant HDR coordinator
- Research Centre Director
- External party familiar with the research
- Party that has benefited from the research
- Nominee's supervisor
- Other:

At least 1 choice must be selected.

If other, please specify.

#### Please upload the Statement of Support \*

Attach a file:

All required statements must be uploaded per the guidelines to be eligible for an award.

### Additional Statement(s) of Support

Please refer to the [Award Guidelines](#) for information on the type of support statement(s), person(s) who can provide them, and preferred format.

- the **nominator's statement** / **statement of support** should ideally be written by the nominator / supporter directly, and

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

- **support statements should address the selection criteria for the selected award category, focusing on the strongest relevant work.**

Please download the following templates:

1. **Nominator(s) Statement** - [Nominator Support fillable template.](#)
2. **Statement of Support** - [Statement of Support fillable template.](#)

### **Please upload any additional Statement(s) of Support**

Attach a file:

All required statements must be uploaded per the guidelines to be eligible for an award.

## Page 4: Nomination Declaration

\* indicates a required field

### Declaration

#### **Who is submitting this form? \***

- The nominator
- The nominee

### Nominator / Delegate Declaration

#### **Nominator Declaration \***

- I confirm my support of this nomination form for evaluation by the Research Awards Panel.
- I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is true and accurate.
- I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the application and any supporting materials are authentic and derived from the nominee(s)' own work.

### Nominee / Delegate Declaration

#### **Nominee Declaration \***

- I understand that I am submitting this nomination form on behalf of the nominator with their agreement.
- I declare that the information provided in this form is true, accurate and can be used in all official communication and documents.
- I certify that the application and any supporting materials are authentic and derived from my / our own work.

### Privacy Collection Statement

The Research and Innovation Capability team is collecting the information in this form to record administrative details of the nominee, and for assessment of the Awards by the relevant selection panels and personnel involved in the delivery of this program.

# 2026 HDR Supervisor Application

## Form Preview

If the College of Design and Social Context proceeds with a 2026 College Research Awards process, nominee and nominator details from the College of Design and Social Context may also be shared with the DSC College Awards team for consideration.

If you are a nominee or nominator from RMIT Vietnam, your details will also be shared with the Vietnam Research & Innovation Capability team for consideration for the 2026 Vietnam Research Awards.

As part of the award process, nominee details may be shared with the HDR Strategy & Policy team to assist in selected candidates being nominated for [Australian Council of Graduate Research](#) awards.

For additional details on how the University handles personal data, please visit the RMIT University webpage and review the [Privacy Policy](#).

## Feedback

You are now nearing the end of the application process. Before you review your nomination and click SUBMIT please take a moment to provide some feedback.

### **How did you find the nomination process?**

- Very easy
- Easy
- Okay
- Difficult
- Very difficult

**Please feel free to provide us with any comments or improvement suggestions.**